

Draft Report
Well Master Plan

Prepared for



Prepared by



CH2MHILL

October 2003

Contents

Acronyms and Abbreviations	x
Executive Summary.....	ES-1
ES.1 Background.....	ES-1
ES.2 Well Master Plan Approach	ES-2
ES.3 Well Master Plan Summary Results	ES-3
ES.3.1 Hydrogeology.....	ES-3
ES.3.2 Ground Subsidence	ES-4
ES.3.3 Wellfield Alternatives	ES-5
ES.4 Well Facility Design.....	ES-6
ES.5 Construction Schedule	ES-7
ES.6 Cost Estimate	ES-7
ES.7 Recommendations for Additional Work	ES-7
1.0 Preliminary Wellfield Design.....	1-1
1.1 Hydrogeologic Evaluation	1-1
1.1.1 Hydrostratigraphy	1-1
1.1.2 Aquifer Transmissivity.....	1-2
1.2 Groundwater Quality Evaluation	1-3
1.2.1 Groundwater Quality Maps	1-3
1.2.2 Groundwater Quality Chemographs	1-3
1.2.3 Groundwater Quality Trends with Depth.....	1-4
1.2.4 Groundwater Under the Influence of Surface Water.....	1-4
1.3 Preliminary Wellfield Design	1-5
1.3.1 Projected Well Drawdowns	1-5
1.3.2 Preliminary Wellfield Designs	1-6
1.4 Summary	1-6
2.0 Groundwater Modeling of Wellfield Alternatives	2-1
2.1 Introduction.....	2-1
2.2 Well Master Plan Modeling Approach.....	2-1
2.2.1 Well Master Plan Groundwater Management Scenarios	2-3
2.3 Basinwide Water Level Impacts	2-3
2.3.1 Scenario 1: Existing Wells Only	2-4
2.3.2 Scenario 2: Existing Wells Plus New Deep Aquifer Wells	2-5
2.3.3 Scenario 3: Existing Plus New Deep Wells Plus Shallow Desalination Wells.....	2-7
2.4 Subsidence Potential	2-7
2.4.1 Subsidence Theory	2-8
2.4.2 Subsidence Potential in the LVGB	2-8
2.4.3 Subsidence Monitoring	2-9
2.5 Water Level Impacts at Individual Wells.....	2-9
2.5.1 Near-well Impacts Relative to Historical Low Water Levels.....	2-10

2.5.2	Well Impacts Relative to Pump Settings.....	2-10
2.5.3	Well Impacts Relative to Screen Elevations.....	2-11
2.5.4	Well Interference Impacts	2-11
2.6	Groundwater Quality Trends	2-11
2.7	Modeling Summary	2-12
3.0	Conceptual Design of Well Facilities	3-1
3.1	Alternative Well Locations and Facility Types	3-1
3.1.1	Alternative Well Locations	3-1
3.1.2	Alternative Well Facility Types and Operational Groups.....	3-2
3.1.3	Existing Distribution System.....	3-3
3.2	General Well Facility Design Criteria	3-3
3.2.1	Well Pumps and Motors	3-3
3.2.2	Well Discharge Piping.....	3-4
3.3	General Site Considerations.....	3-5
3.3.1	Civil Facilities	3-6
3.3.2	Electrical and I&C Facilities.....	3-7
3.4	General Description of Treatment Facilities	3-8
3.4.1	Sodium Hypochlorite	3-8
3.4.2	Aqueous Ammonia.....	3-10
3.4.3	Fluoridation – Future Facilities	3-10
3.5	Specific Description of Site Alternatives	3-11
3.5.1	Auxiliary Well/ Industrial.....	3-11
3.5.2	Auxiliary Well/ Residential	3-11
3.5.3	Base Well/Industrial	3-11
3.5.4	Base Well/Residential	3-12
3.5.5	Treatment, All Auxiliary Wells, Industrial.....	3-12
3.5.6	Treatment, One or More Base Wells, Industrial	3-13
3.5.7	Treatment, All Auxiliary Wells, Residential	3-13
3.5.8	Treatment, One or More Base Wells, Residential	3-14
3.6	Budgetary Cost Estimates	3-14
3.6.1	Budgetary Unit Prices.....	3-14
3.6.2	Cost Estimate Summary	3-15
3.6.3	Budgetary Cost Estimate Example	3-15
4.0	Well Implementation Plan.....	4-1
4.1	Drought Requirements	4-1
4.2	Construction Schedule.....	4-1
4.3	Cost Estimate.....	4-2
4.4	Recommendations for Future Work	4-2
5.0	References	5-1

Appendices

- A Location of Modeled Shallow Desalting Wells
- B Hydrographs for Select Scenarios

C	Water Level Maps for Select Scenarios
D	Cell Size and Well Efficiency Data
E	Time-Drawdown Plots for Individual Wells at New Wellfields
F	Distance-Drawdown Plots for Individual Wells at New Wellfields
G	TDS Maps for Shallow Aquifer

Tables

ES.2-1	Summary of Select Model Simulations (All Values in mgd)
ES.3-1	Wellfield Ranking
ES.5-1	Scenario-2D: Well Construction Schedule to Meet Drought Demands
ES.6-1	Preliminary Capital Construction Cost Estimates for Select Scenarios (Millions)
1.1-1	Summary Well Construction Information
1.1-2	Wellfield Transmissivities from Model
1.1-3	Estimated Average Wellfield Transmissivities
1.2-1	Summary Water Quality Data
1.3-1	Wellfield Design Parameters
1.3-2	Projected Well Operating Conditions
1.3-3	Preliminary Well Construction Recommendations
2.2-1	Maximum Zone 7 Well/Wellfield Production Rates (gpm) for Select Scenarios
2.2-2	Summary of Select Model Simulations (all values in mgd)
2.5-1	Well Construction Information
2.5-2	Adjusted Water Level Elevations
2.5-3	Potential Water Level Impacts at Individual Municipal Water Supply Wells
3.1-1	General Well Types
3.4-1	Well Capacity, Chlorine Dosage and Demand
3.4-2	Sodium Hypochlorite – Bulk Deliveries
3.4-3	Sodium Hypochlorite – On-site Generation
3.4-4	Assumptions for Preliminary Cost Comparison
3.4-5	Preliminary Cost Comparison of Sodium Hypochlorite Alternatives (including ammonia feed system)
3.4-6	Ammonia Feed System

- 3.4-7 Fluoride Feed System
- 3.6-1 Budgetary Unit Prices for Well/Treatment Facility Master Planning
- 3.6-2 Preliminary Capital Cost Comparison of Sodium Hypochlorite Alternatives
- 3.6-3 Budgetary Capital Cost – Sample Calculation – 1000 gpm Well, Residential Area with On-Site Hypochlorite Treatment System
- 4.0-1 Number of Wells in Each Wellfield and Maximum Utilized Capacity for Select Simulations (mgd)
- 4.2-1 Scenario-2d Well Construction Schedule to Meet Drought Demands
- 4.3-1 Preliminary Capital Construction Cost Estimates for Select Scenario-2d Alternatives (millions)

Figures

- ES.1-1 Subbasin Boundaries and Existing Municipal Wells
- ES.2-1 Model Transmissivity and Wellfield Locations
- ES.2-2 Historic Low Water Levels in the Deep Aquifer
- ES.2-3 Well Interference: Distance Drawdown Graphs for Pumping Wells (About 10 Days Pumping at Specified Rates)
- ES.3-1 Hydrogeologic Cross Section
- ES.3-2 Deep Aquifer Initial TDS Concentration
- ES.3-3 Scenario 1: Existing Wells Only – Deep Aquifer TDS Concentration in 50 Years
- ES.3-4 Scenario 2: Existing and New Wells – Deep Aquifer TDS Concentration in 50 Years
- ES.3-5 Scenario 3: Existing Wells and Shallow Aquifer Wells – Deep Aquifer TDS Concentration in 50 Years
- ES.3-6 Preferred Alternative: Scenario-2D – Height of 1-Year Drought, Max 45 mgd Zone 7 Pumping Water Level Difference Map for Deep Aquifer
- ES.3-7 Secenario 2d: 45 mgd Pumping Capacity Water Level Difference Map for the Deep Aquifer at Height of 6-year Drought
- ES.4-1 Typical Facility Site Plan for New Wells
- ES.4-2 Schematic Wellfield Layout – Preferred Alternative
- ES.5-1 Preferred Alternative: Scenario-2D – Drought Capacities
- ES.5-2 Preferred Alternative: Scenario-2D – Well Capacity Projections
- 1.1-1 Cross-Section Location and Wells
- 1.1-2 Cross-Section Mocho Wellfield - Well Master Plan

1.1-3	Cross-Section A-A' - Well Master Plan
1.1-4	Cross-Section B-B' - Well Master Plan
1.1-5	Cross-Section C-C' - Well Master Plan
1.1-6	Cross-Section D-D' - Well Master Plan
1.1-7	Cross-Section E-E' - Well Master Plan
1.1-8	Cross-Section F-F' - Well Master Plan
1.1-9	Cross-Section G-G' - Well Master Plan
1.1-10	Model Transmissivity and Wellfield Locations
1.2-1	TDS ($\mu\text{g/L}$) < 100 ft bgs
1.2-2	TDS ($\mu\text{g/L}$) < 100 to 250 ft bgs
1.2-3	TDS (mg/L) > 250 ft bgs
1.2-4	NO ₃ (mg/L) < 100 ft bgs
1.2-5	NO ₃ (mg/L) 100 to 250 ft bgs
1.2-6	NO ₃ (mg/L) > 250 ft bgs
1.2-7	IRON ($\mu\text{g/L}$) All Wells
1.2-8	Mn ($\mu\text{g/L}$) All Wells
1.2-9	Arsenic ($\mu\text{g/L}$) All Wells
1.2-10	Hopyard-1
1.2-11	Hopyard-4
1.2-12	Hopyard-6
1.2-13	Mocho-1
1.2-14	Mocho-2
1.2-15	Stoneridge
1.2-16	Pleasanton-5
1.2-17	Pleasanton-6
1.2-18	Pleasanton-7
1.2-19	Pleasanton-8
1.2-20	San Francisco Water Department Well-A5
1.2-21	Fairgrounds Well-20B2
1.2-22	Groundwater Recharge/Pumpage Ratio

1.2-23	Groundwater Budget Components
1.2-24	Hopyard-6: Water Quality Versus Depth Concentration of NO ₃ (mg/L)
1.2-25	Stoneridge Well: Water Quality Versus Depth Concentration of NO ₃ (mg/L)
1.2-26	Busch-Valley OW-A & -B Water Quality Versus Depth Concentration of NO ₃ (mg/L)
1.2-27	Mocho Wellfield Wells: Water Quality Versus Depth Concentration of NO ₃ (mg/L)
1.3-1	Historic Low Water Levels In The Deep Aquifer
1.3-2	Drawdown vs. Distance
1.3-3	Drawdown vs. Distance
1.3-4	Drawdown vs. Distance
1.3-5	Drawdown vs. Distance
2.1-1	Subbasin Boundaries and Existing Municipal Wells
2.1-2	Potential New Wellfield Location
2.2-1	Zone 7 Pumpage Assumptions for Model Scenarios Based on 70 mgd Maximum Pumping Capacity
2.2-2	Zone 7 Pumpage Assumptions for Model Scenarios Based on 45 mgd Maximum Pumping Capacity
2.2-3	Water Levels (ft msl) Initial Conditions, Layer-1
2.2-4	Water Levels (ft msl) Initial Conditions, Layer-3
2.2-5	Hydrograph of Hopyard-1 and Hopyard-6
2.2-6	Transmissivity Distribution in the Deep Aquifer
2.2-7	Historic Composite Low Water Levels in the Deep Aquifer
2.2-8	Zone 7 Groundwater Pumpage During Peak of Drought 24% SWP Deliveries & 2020 Demand
2.2-9	Zone 7 Groundwater Pumpage During Peak of Drought 20% SWP Deliveries & 2020 Demand
2.2-10	Zone 7 Groundwater Pumpage During Peak of Drought 11% SWP Deliveries & 2020 Demand
2.3-1	Water Level Difference Map for the Deep Aquifer Average Future Conditions Minus Historical Low
2.3-2	Scenario 1: Existing Wells Only, 32 mgd Pumping Capacity Drawdown Map for the Deep Aquifer

2.3-3	Scenario 1a: 32 mgd Pumping Capacity Water Level Difference Map for the Deep Aquifer
2.3-4	Scenario 1b: 32 mgd Pumping Capacity Water Level Difference Map for the Deep Aquifer
2.3-5	Scenario 1b: 25 mgd Pumping Capacity Water Level Difference Map for the Deep Aquifer
2.3-6	Scenario 2a: 70 mgd Pumping Capacity Water Level Difference Map for the Deep Aquifer
2.3-7	Scenario 2b: 70 mgd Pumping Capacity Water Level Difference Map for the Deep Aquifer
2.3-8	Scenario 2c: 55 mgd Pumping Capacity Water Level Difference Map for the Deep Aquifer
2.3-9	Scenario 2d: 45 mgd Pumping Capacity Water Level Difference Map for the Deep Aquifer at Height of 1-Year Drought
2.3-10	Scenario 2d: 45 mgd Pumping Capacity Water Level Difference Map for the Deep Aquifer at Height of 6-Year Drought
2.3-11	Scenario 2: Max Day Demand Pumping at 52 mgd for 4 days – Water Level Difference Map for the Deep Aquifer (S4-WL diff-Scen805-L3-SP10)
2.3-12	Scenario 4: Max Day Demand Pumping at 52 mgd for 30 days – Water Level Difference Map for the Deep Aquifer (S4-WL diff-Scen805-L3-SP14)
2.3-13	Scenario 4: Pumping 68.2 mgd for 60 Days – Water Level Difference for the Deep Aquifer
2.3-14	Scenario 2e: Dispersed Wells Height of 1-Year Drought, Max 45 mgd Zone 7 Pumping – Water Level Difference Map for the Deep Aquifer (S2-WL diff-Scen507-L3-SP14)
2.3-15	Scenario 2f: More Dispersed Wells, No Chain of Lakes Wellfield, Height of 1-Year Drought, Max 45 mgd Zone 7 Pumping – Water Level Difference Map for the Deep Aquifer (S2-WL diff-Scen508-L3-SP14)
2.3-16	Scenario 4: 50 mgd Deep and 5 mgd Shallow Pumping Capacity Water Level Difference Map for the Deep Aquifer
2.5-1	Well Interference: Distance Drawdown Graphs for Pumping Wells (About 10 Days Pumping at Specified Rates)
2.6-1	TDS at Select Wells - Scenario 1: Existing Wells Only
2.6-2	TDS at Select Wells - Scenario-2: Existing Wells, Plus New Wells
2.6-3	TDS at Select Wells - Scenario 3: Existing Wells Plus Shallow Desalting Wells
2.6-4	TDS at Select Wells - Scenario-1

2.6-5	TDS at Select Wells – Scenario-2
2.6-6	TDS at Select Wells - Scenario 3
2.6-7	TDS Trends at Desalting Wells
2.6-8	Deep Aquifer Initial TDS Concentration
2.6-9	Scenario 1: Existing Wells Only – Deep Aquifer TDS Concentration in 50 Years
2.6-10	Scenario 2: Existing and New Well – Deep Aquifer TDS Concentration in 50 Years
2.6-11	Scenario 3: Existing and New Well – Deep Aquifer TDS Concentration in 50 Years
3.1-1	Operational Group Configurations - Zone 7 - Well Master Plan
3.2-1	Typical Well Facility Site Plan - Zone 7 - Well Master Plan
3.3-1	Well Facility With On-Site Treatment - Zone 7 - Well Master Plan
3.3-2	Typical Well Facility Treatment System - Zone 7 - Well Master Plan
4.1-1	Schematic Wellfield Layout, Preferred Alternative, Scenario – 2D:506C
4.1-2	Schematic Wellfield Layout, Preferred Alternative, Scenario – 2D:805
4.1-3	Schematic Wellfield Layout, Preferred Alternative, Scenario – 2D:806
4.2-1	Zone 7 Well Capacity for Drought Protection
4.2-2	Well Capacity Projections for Scenario 2-d for mgd

Acronyms and Abbreviations

dbA	decibels, A-rated
DHS	California Department of Health Services
ft bgs	feet below ground surface
gpd/ft	gallons per day per feet
gpm	gallons per minute
gpm/ft	gallons per minute per feet
GWW	Groundwater for Windows
hp	horsepower
I&C	instrumentation and control
LVGB	Livermore Valley Groundwater Basin
mA	milliamperes
MCL	maximum contaminant levels
mg/L	milligrams per liter
mgd	million gallons per day
µg/L	micrograms per liter
MPA	Microscopic Particulate Analysis
msl	mean sea level
O&M	operation and maintenance
PG&E	Pacific Gas & Electric
PLC	programmable logic controller
ppm	parts per million
psi	per square inch
PCV	pump control valve
rpm	revolutions per minute
SCADA	supervisory control and data acquisition
TDS	total dissolved solids